

Annexure I: Feedback Format on Curriculum Review by Stakeholders -Programme wise

(To be based on survey as per Curricula Feedback templates of Feedback policy)

School: School of Engineering & Technology


Department: Biotechnology

Academic Year: 2021-22

Programme Name: M. Tech. Biotechnology

Programme Code: SET0203

(This format is placed before the Department (This format is placed before the Board of Studies & Action Taken Incorporated in Curriculum & forwarded to the Academic Council for Approval) Academic Committee & the Board of Studies)											
Stakeholders	No of Respondents	Scale	Feedback Questions Response (%)							Suggestions in Feedback taken up after DAC	Action Taken on Feedback
			Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7		
Faculty	06	Excellent	78%	78%	65%	60%	55%	NA	NA	The stakeholders are satisfied with existing curriculum; so DAC proposed no revision in curriculum	No changes in current curriculum; hence no agenda to be taken up by BOS
		Very Good	12%	22%	35%	30%	40%	NA	NA		
		Good	10%	-	-	10%	5%	NA	NA		
		Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Not Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Student	06	Excellent	83%	83%	83%	83%	83%	83%	83%	The stakeholders are satisfied with existing curriculum and teaching methodology; so DAC proposed no further changes	No changes in current curriculum; hence no agenda to be taken up by BOS
		Very Good	67%	67%	83%	67%	83%	67%	83%		
		Good	17%	17%		17%		17%	17%		
		Satisfactory	33%	33%	33%	17%	33%	17%	17%		
		Not Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Alumni	02	Excellent	100%	50%	100%	-	100%	NA	NA	Stakeholders did not suggest any improvement in current curriculum and mentioned about quality teaching by faculty members	No changes in current curriculum; hence no agenda to be taken up by BOS
		Very Good	-	50%	-	100%	-	-	-		
		Good	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Not Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Employers	01	Excellent	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-	Stakeholder suggested more exposure of students	Guest lectures from industry person organized;
		Very Good	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Good	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%		


 Head of Department
 Dept. of Biotechnology
 School of Eng. & Technology,
 Sharda University
 Noida (U.P.)

		Satisfactory								to advanced techniques and industry; suggestions were taken and appropriately discussed in DAC; DAC proposed that industry interaction of students shall be enhanced	visit to advanced research labs organized
		Not Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

ATF

Head of Department
Dept. of Biotechnology
School of Eng. & Technology,
Sharda University
K.P.-3 Gr. Noida (U.P)

Note: Questionnaires on Curriculum Feedback from Stakeholders is attached as Annexure I-A

Feedback Analysis Points: (Refer Feedback Analysis Report)	Feedback Action Taken: (Summarize as in points above)	Indicate whether incorporated in Curriculum/Course
1. The stakeholders are satisfied with existing curriculum; so DAC proposed no revision in curriculum	No need to revise existing curriculum	NA
2. The stakeholders are satisfied with existing curriculum; so DAC proposed no revision in curriculum	No need to revise existing curriculum	NA
3. The stakeholders are satisfied with existing curriculum; so DAC proposed no revision in curriculum	No need to revise existing curriculum	NA
4. The stakeholders are satisfied with existing curriculum; so DAC proposed no revision in curriculum	No need to revise existing curriculum	NA
5. Guest lectures and Industrial visits should be included	Guest lectures from industry persons organized; visit to advanced research labs organized	Yes

Signature

Name

Dean
 School of Engineering & Technology
 Sharda University
 Greater Noida

Signature

Name

HoD

Head of Department
 Dept. of Biotechnology
 School of Eng. & Technology,
 Sharda University
 K.P.-3 Gr. Noida (U.P.)

Annexure I-A

Feedback on Curriculum from Stakeholders: - Questions

The questions for feedback on curriculum from stake holders

I. Curriculum Feedback-Faculty (course specific)

1. Relevance of the syllabus to the Course
2. Applicability of syllabus to Industry/Practical needs
3. Applicability to life-long learning
4. Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in Curricula.
5. Suggestions for improvement of Course Syllabus

II. Curriculum Feedback – Alumni (along with shared curricula/teaching scheme)

1. Relevance of the Curriculum to the Programme
2. Applicability of curricula to industry/practical needs
3. Applicability to life-long learning
4. Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
5. Suggestions for improvement of Curricula


III. Curriculum Feedback - Industry Experts (along with shared curricula/teaching scheme)

1. Relevance of the curriculum to the Programme
2. Applicability of curricula to industry/practical needs
3. Addressal of curricula to current needs-local/regional/national/global
4. Applicability to life-long learning
5. Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
6. Appropriate blend of theory and hands on/practical learning
7. Suggestions for improvement of Curricula

IV. Student Feedback on curriculum (integrated with Feedback on Teaching-Learning-course specific) questions:

1. Is the syllabus appropriate to the course?
2. Degree of Alignment of Course outcomes with syllabus
3. Suggestions for improvement of course syllabus- sentence option-3 lines

Note: Questions may be modified/added as required by concerned Dean


Head of Department
Dept. of Biotechnology
School of Eng. & Technology,
Sharda University
K.P.-3 Gr. Noida (U.P)