

Annexure I: Feedback Format on Curriculum Review by Stakeholders -Programme wise

(To be based on survey as per Curricula Feedback templates of Feedback policy)

School: Sharda School of Engineering & Technology

Department: Computer Science and Applications

Academic Year: 2021-22

Programme Name: BCA

Programme Code:

(This format is placed before the Department (This format is placed before the Board of Studies & Action Taken Incorporated in Curriculum & forwarded to the Academic Council for Approval) Academic Committee & the Board of Studies)

| Stakeholders | No of Respondents | Scale | Feedback Questions Response (%) | | | | | | | Suggestions in Feedback taken up after DAC | Action Taken on Feedback |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6 | Q7 | | |
| Faculty | 7 | Excellent | 36 | 43 | 43 | 14 | 14 | | | 1. Syllabus should be hands-on | Added MooC courses |
| | | Very Good | 29 | 7 | 22 | 28 | 28 | | | | |
| | | Good | 28 | 29 | 7 | 14 | 23- | | | | |
| | | Satisfactory | 7 | 12 | 7 | 23 | 14 | | | | |
| | | Not Satisfactory | - | 9 | 21 | 21 | 21 | | | | |
| Student | 57 | Excellent | 26.31 | 29.82 | 29.82 | | | | | 1. Need more practical session | Include some coding assessments |
| | | Very Good | 29.82 | 26.31 | 26.31 | | | | | | |
| | | Good | 21.05 | 19.29 | 19.29 | | | | | | |
| | | Satisfactory | 12.28 | 17.54 | 17.54 | | | | | | |
| | | Not Satisfactory | 10.52 | 7.01 | 7.01 | | | | | | |
| Alumni | 4 | Excellent | 25 | 25 | - | 50 | - | | | 1. Domain should have Live projects. | Final project should deploy on Git. |
| | | Very Good | 75 | 50 | 75 | 25 | 75 | | | | |
| | | Good | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | | | | |
| | | Satisfactory | - | - | - | - | - | | | | |
| | | Not Satisfactory | | | | | | | | | |
| Employers | 8 | Excellent | 12.5 | 50 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 50 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 1. Live project should be there. | Case studies are added in syllabus. |
| | | Very Good | 75 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 75 | 25 | | |
| | | Good | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | | |
| | | Satisfactory | | | 12.5 | 25 | | | 0 | | |
| | | Not Satisfactory | | | 25 | 12.5 | | | 0 | | |


Dean
 School of Engineering & Technology
 Sharda University
 Greater Noida

(Signature)
 Dr. Nitin R
 CSE

Head of the Department
 Computer Science & Engineering
 School of Engineering & Technology
 Sharda University, Greater Noida.

Note: Questionnaires on Curriculum Feedback from Stakeholders is attached as Annexure I-A

| Feedback Analysis Points: (Refer Feedback Analysis Report) | Feedback Action Taken: (Summarise as in points above) | Indicate whether incorporated in Curriculum/Course |
|---|---|---|
| 1 Need more practical session | Added some coding assignments Git repository are introduced with cloud . | |
| 2 Live project based analysis | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |

| | | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|---|
| Signature | | Signature |  |
| Name | | Name | Dr. Nitin R |
| Dean | | HoD | CSE |


 Dean
 School of Engineering & Technology
 Sharda University
 Greater Noida

Head of the Department
 Computer Science & Engineering
 School of Engineering & Technology
 Sharda University, Greater Noida.