-			فالتنايب		5	Sharda Univ	versity			
	tr			Scho	ol: School	of Humanit	ies & S	ocial S	cien	ces
				De	partment:	Humanitie	s & Soc	ial Scie	ence	S
	100			Р	rogramme	Name : B.	A.(Hon	s.) Hist	ory	
					Progra	mme Code	: SLCC	104		
				Time	Acade	emic Year:	2021-2	022		
				(	Curriculum	Feedback .	Analys	is Repo	ort	
Stakehol ders			Feedb	ack Questic			Suggestions in Feedback			
	Resp onde nts		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q 7	
Faculty	6	Excellent	100% (6)	80% (4)	50% (3)	90% (5)				Feedback was collected on the course-credit and structure content and its depth, coverage applicability, learning value, Skill, research-based learning.  Suggestion: (i)The course components shou be modified as per UPHED guidelines. (ii) curriculum should focus more on research-based learnings. These suggestions were evaluated in DAC and suggestions were accepted.
		Very Good		20% (2)	50% (3)	10% (1)				
		Good								
		Satisfactory				1		7		
		Not Satisfactory								
udent	17	Excellent	90% (15)	100%	100% (17)	94% (16)				Feedback was collected on the course-credi and structure content and its depth, covera applicability, learning value, skill, research- based learning.
		Very Good	10% (2)			6%(1)				
	-	Good					1. 1. 1.			
	S	Satisfactory								Suggestion: The course components should



		Not Satisfactory							add some History specific vocational courses These suggestions were evaluated in DAC and suggestions were accepted.
Alumni	8	Excellent	90%(7)	90%(7)	90%(7)	90%(7)			Feedback was collected on the course-credit and structure content and its depth, coverage, applicability, learning value, research-based learning.  Suggestion: The course components should include training/ practical knowledge for the job-market. These suggestions were evaluated in DAC and suggestions were accepted.  Feedback was collected on the course content and its applicability and relevance to the need of the organization was evaluated. Suggestion Curriculum should add some courses to cater industry specific needs. These suggestions were evaluated in DAC and suggestions were accepted.
		Very Good	10% (1)	10% (1)	10% (1)	10% (1)			
		Good					1 355	1 - 1 - 1	
		Satisfactory							
		Not Satisfactory							
Employer	1	Excellent			100%		100 % (1)		
		Very Good		100%		100%		100 % (1)	
		Good	100% (1)						
		Satisfactory		FE Y				NEW	
		Not Satisfactory							

Feedback Analysis Points: (Refer Feedback Analysis Report)	
1 course-credit Structure	
2 Course-content and its depth, coverage	



3 Course-content and its applicability and relevance to the needs of the organization/industry	
4 Research element focus	
Name: Prof. (Dr.) Anviti	Signature :  Name: Prof. (Dr.) Pramod Kumar
Dean T.A. III. Greater	HoD