For Information only (Need to remove this row while documentation) - (This format is placed before the Department (This format is placed before the Board of Studies & Action Taken Incorporated in Curriculum & forwarded to the Academic Council for Approval) Academic Committee & the Board of Studies)

Stakeholders	No of	Scale			Feed	back Qu	estions	Suggestions in Feedback				
Stakenorders	Respondents		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q8 Q9	taken up after DAC
Faculty	10	Excellent	44%	44%	33%	22%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	More importance should be given to industry/practica
		Very Good	33%	33%	44%	11%	44%	44%	44%	44%	44%	
		Good	11%	11%	11%	33%	22%	22%	0%	22%	22%	
		Satisfactory	11%	11%	11%	33%	0%	0%	22%	0%	0%	
		Not	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Students	0	Excellent	0%	. 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		Nil
		Very Good	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		Good	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		Satisfactory	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		Not	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Alumni	0	Excellent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		Nil
		Very Good	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		Good	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		Satisfactory	. 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		Not	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Employers	4	Excellent	75%	0%	75%	0%	75%	50%	75%	75%		Nil
		Very Good	0%	75%	0%	75%	0%	50%	0%	25%		
		Good	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	0%	25%	0%		
		Satisfactory	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		Not	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Academic Peers	3	Excellent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0,0	070		Nil
		Very Good	100%	100%	67%	67%	100%	100%		100		
		Good	0%	0%	33%	33%	0%	0%				
		Satisfactory ·	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
		Not	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100	77		
Parents	0	Excellent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Nil
		Very Good	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		Good	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		Satisfactory	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		Not	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	× 0%	0%	0%	

DEAN

Note: Questionnaires on Curriculum Feedback from Stakeholders is attached as Annexure I-A

	Feedback Analysis Points	
1 More importance should be given to industry/pro	ctical needs	
2 Nil		
3 Nil		
4 Nil		
5 Nil		
6 Nil		
Signature	Signature	
Name	Name	
Dean	HoD	

