	1	Feedback Analysis Re Sharda School of Basic Sciences & Research								epartme	nt:	Chemistry & Biochemistry
School:								M.Sc. Chemistry				Academic Year: 2022-23
Programme Code: Stakeholders	SBR0101 No of Respondents	Scale		Feedback Questions Respon								Suggestions in Feedback
			Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	taken up after DAC
Faculty	15	Strongly Agree	100%	93%	93%	87%		80%	100%	87%	100%	As feedback was satisfactory, it can be further improved a per current industry needs.
		Agree		7%	7%		80%	20%		13%		
		Neutral	10			13%	13%					
		Disagree					7%					
		Strongly Disagree						2				
Students	20	Strongly Agree	40%	50%	40%	40%	50%	40%	50%	40%		Feedback received from the students was found to be satisfactory.
		Agree	40%	20%	20%	40%	20%	40%	20%	40%		
		Neutral										
		Disagree		20%	20%		20%		20%			
		Strongly Disagree	20%	10%	20%	20%	10%	20%	10%	20%		
Alumni	20	Strongly Agree	20%	40%	50%	50%	50%	40%	40%	20%		Satisfactory feedback was received from alumni.
		Agree	20%	40%				40%	40%	20%		
		Neutral								-		
		Disagree	20%		40%	40%	40%			40%		
		Strongly Disagree	40%	20%	10%	10%	10%	20%	20%	20%		
Employers	5	Strongly Agree	100%	20%	20%	100%	100%	20%	100%			Practicals, hands-on-training sessions to be given to meet current day industry demands.
		Agree		80%	80%			80%				
		Neutral							-			
		Disagree								_		
		Strongly Disagree										
Academic Peers	2	Strongly Agree	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				Satisfactory feedback was received from peers.
		Agree							-			
		Neutral									-	
		Disagree						29				
		Strongly Disagree				10						
Parents	5	Strongly Agree	20%	20%	60%	20%	60%	80%	80%	_		Satisfactory feedback was received from parents.
		Agree	80%	80%	40%	80%	40%	20%	20%			
		Neutral				rie:	ad is <mark>ny & Bi</mark> e Science	ochemis	άγ,		_	
		Disagree			partment	Rasi	e Science		earch.	-		
		Strongly Disagree		Shar	School School	in proint	Greater N	lo <mark>ida, l</mark> ir	Alt.			

Juny

Note: Questionnaires on Curriculum Feedback from Stakeholders is attached as Annexure I-A

Feedback Analysis Points

1. Feedback received from faculty, peers, parents and students is satisfactory.
2. Mentoring with respect to competitive exams like NET/GATE will be incorporated in curriculum.
3. Practicals, hands-on-training sessions need to be enhanced to meet the current industry requirements.
4. Efforts to improve industry-academia relations will be taken up.

Signature

Name

Prof. Shyamal Kumar Banerjee

Name

Dean

HoD

Sharda School of Basic Sciences & Peoprofits Sharda School of Basic Sciences & Peoprofits Sharda School of Basic Sciences & Peoprofits Sharda University, Greater Molder, Leans

Dean
Sharda School of Basic Sciences & Research
Sharda University, Greater Noida, India