# **Sharda University**

School: School of Engineering and Technology
Department: Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year: 2020-2021

## Feedback Analysis

(This format is placed before the Departmental Academic Committee & the Board of Studies)

	No. of respondent		Feedback Questions Response (%)							
Stakeholders			Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Suggestions by Stakeholders in Feedback
Faculty	29	Excellent	58.62	55.17	62.07	65.52	55.17	58.62	-	MCL195, MCT113
		Very Good	37.93	44.83	31.03	34.48	41.38	41.38	-	1. Research Methodology related subject must be
		Good	3.45	0.00	6.90	0.00	3.45	0.00	-	introduced.  2. In support of PBL, introduction to research and paper
		Satisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
		<b>Not Satisfactory</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	writing should be included in the syllabus
Student	10	Excellent	20.00	20.00	-	-	-	-	-	MCT112, MCT201  1. Practical exposure is provided for the theoretical concept in the courses.  2. Research Methodology related subject must be introduced.
		Very Good	20.00	20.00	•	-	-	-	-	
		Good	40.00	40.00	-	-	-	-	-	
		Satisfactory	20.00	20.00	-	-	-	-	-	
		<b>Not Satisfactory</b>	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	
Alumni	5	Excellent	60	20	40	40	40	80	-	Research Methodology related subject must be introduced.
		Very Good	20	40	20	40	20	0	-	
		Good	20	40	20	20	20	20	-	2. Data Science & Analytics course or specialization must
		Satisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	be introduced to meet industry.
		Not Satisfactory	0	0	20	0	20	0	-	3. Continuously upgradation of curriculum to meet the
Employers	16	Excellent	31.25	37.5	25	31.25	37.5	37.5	31.25	Sufficient practical exposure must be provided for the theoretical concepts in the courses.
		Very Good	43.75	37.5	68.75	43.75	62.5	56.25	68.75	
		Good	25	25	6.25	25	-	6.25	-	2. Data Science & Analytics related courses must be
		Satisfactory	-		-		90.		-	introduced.
		Not Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-		-	

NOTE: Questionnaire on feedback is given in Annexure-1

Feedback Analysis:

The comme	ents in bold text are placed before the Board of Studies	
		22 /201
Signature		Signature
Name	Prof. (Dr.) Parma Nand	Name Prof. (Dr.) Nitin Rakesh
Dean		HoD

# **Annexure-1**

#### Feedback on Curriculum from Stakeholders:-Questions

#### I. Curriculum Feedback-Faculty (course specific)

- 1 -Relevance of the syllabus to the Course
- 2 Applicability of syllabus to industry/practical needs
- 3 Applicability to life-long learning
- 4 Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
- 5 Suggestions for improvement of course syllabus

#### II. Curriculum Feedback – Alumni (along with shared curricula/teaching scheme)

- 1 -Relevance of the curriculum to the Programme
- 2 Applicability of curricula to industry/practical needs
- 4 Applicability to life-long learning
- 5 Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
- 6 Suggestions for improvement of curricula

### III. Curriculum Feedback - Industry Experts (along with shared curricula/teaching scheme)

- 1 -Relevance of the curriculum to the Programme
- 2- Applicability of curricula to industry/practical needs
- 3 -Addressal of curricula to current needs-local/regional/national/global
- 4 -Applicability to life-long learning
- 5 Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
- 6 -Appropriate blend of theory and hands on/practical learning
- 7 -Suggestions for improvement of curricula

# IV. Student Feedback on curriculum (integrated with Feedback on Teaching-Learning-course specific) questions:

- 1. Is the syllabus appropriate to the course
- 2. Degree of Alignment of Course outcomes with syllabus
- 3. Suggestions for improvement of course syllabus- sentence option-3 lines

\*\*\*\*\*