Sharda University
**School: School of Engineering and Technology**
Department: Computer Science and Engineering
Academic Year: 2020-2021
Feedback Analysis

(This format is placed before the Board of Studies & Action Taken Incorporated in Curriculum & forwarded to the Academic Council for Approval)

Stakeholders	No. of respondent		Feedback Questions Response (%)							Suggestions in Feedback taken up after DAC	Action Taken on Feedback
			Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7		
Faculty	32	Excellent	62.50	53.13	59.38	65.63	56.25	62.50	-	1. Research Methodology related subject must be introduced.	Research Methodology course is introduced.
		Very Good	34.38	46.88	37.50	34.38	43.75	34.38	-	2. In support of PBL, introduction to research and paper writing should be included in the syllabus	
		Good	3.13	0.00	3.13	0.00	0.00	3.13	-		
		Satisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
		Not Satisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
Student	43	Excellent	32.56	32.56	-	-	-	-	-	1. Research Methodology related subject must be introduced.	Research Methodology course is introduced.
		Very Good	25.58	20.93	-	-	-	-	-		
		Good	16.28	23.26	-	-	-	-	-		
		Satisfactory	16.28	11.63	-	-	-	-	-		
		Not Satisfactory	2.33	11.63	-	-	-	-	-		
Alumni	5	Excellent	60	20	40	40	40	80	-	1. Research Methodology related subject must be introduced.	1. Research Methodology course is introduced.
		Very Good	20	40	20	40	20	0	-		
		Good	20	40	20	20	20	20	-		
		Satisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		
		Not Satisfactory	0	0	20	0	20	0	-		
Employers	16	Excellent	31.25	37.5	25	31.25	37.5	37.5	31.25		
		Very Good	43.75	37.5	68.75	43.75	62.5	56.25	68.75		
		Good	25	25	6.25	25	-	6.25	-		
		Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Not Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Feedback Analysis: (Refer Feedback Analysis Report)	Feedback Action Taken: (Summarise as in points above)	Indicate whether incorporated in Curriculum/Course
1. Research Methodology related subject must be introduced.	1. Research Methodology course is introduced.	Y
2. In support of PBL, introduction to research and paper writing should be included in		Y

Signature			Signature	
Name	Prof. (Dr.) Parma Nand		Name	Prof. (Dr.) Nitin Rakesh 03/06/2021
Dean			HoD	

<u>Annexure-1</u>

Feedback on Curriculum from Stakeholders:-Questions

I. Curriculum Feedback-Faculty (course specific)
1 -Relevance of the syllabus to the Course
2 - Applicability of syllabus to industry/practical needs
3 - Applicability to life-long learning
4 - Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
5 - Suggestions for improvement of course syllabus

II. Curriculum Feedback – Alumni (along with shared curricula/teaching scheme)
1 -Relevance of the curriculum to the Programme
2 - Applicability of curricula to industry/practical needs
4 - Applicability to life-long learning
5 - Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
6 - Suggestions for improvement of curricula

III. Curriculum Feedback - Industry Experts (along with shared curricula/teaching scheme)
1 -Relevance of the curriculum to the Programme
2- Applicability of curricula to industry/practical needs
3 -Addressal of curricula to current needs-local/regional/national/global
4 -Applicability to life-long learning
5 -Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
6 -Appropriate blend of theory and hands on/practical learning
7 -Suggestions for improvement of curricula

IV. Student Feedback on curriculum (integrated with Feedback on Teaching-Learning-course specific) questions:
1. Is the syllabus appropriate to the course
2. Degree of Alignment of Course outcomes with syllabus
3. Suggestions for improvement of course syllabus- sentence option-3 lines

****