

Sharda University

School: School of Engineering and Technology
Department: Computer Science and Engineering
Academic Year: 2020-2021

Feedback Analysis



(This format is placed before the Departmental Academic Committee & the Board of Studies)

Stakeholders	No. of respondent		Feedback Questions Response (%)							Suggestions by Stakeholders in Feedback
			Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	
Faculty	34	Excellent	44.12	52.94	44.12	52.94	47.06	47.06	-	BCA362, BCP201 1. Curriculum must be updated according to National Education Policy-2020. 2. NSS should be introduced in Community Connect Course. 3. Continuously upgradation of curriculum to meet the industry demands from time to time.
		Very Good	47.06	38.24	38.24	38.24	47.06	44.12	-	
		Good	8.82	8.82	5.88	8.82	5.88	8.82	-	
		Satisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	2.9411765	-	
		Not Satisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
Student	129	Excellent	30.23	31.01	-	-	-	-	-	BCA365, BCA264 1. Curriculum must be updated according to National Education Policy-2020. 2. Industrial Training must be there. 3. Industrial Project exposure must be included.
		Very Good	27.13	27.91	-	-	-	-	-	
		Good	21.71	25.58	-	-	-	-	-	
		Satisfactory	9.30	12.40	-	-	-	-	-	
		Not Satisfactory	5.43	3.10	-	-	-	-	-	
Alumni	3	Excellent	33.33	33.33	33.33	33.33	33.33	33.33	-	1. Curriculum must be updated according to National Education Policy-2020. 2. Industrial training and project exposure must be there. 3. Continuously upgradation of curriculum to meet the industry demands from time to time.
		Very Good	33.33	33.33	33.33	33.33	33.33	33.33	-	
		Good	0	33.33	33.33	33.33	0	33.33	-	
		Satisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
		Not Satisfactory	33.33	0	0	0	33.33	0	-	
Employers	16	Excellent	31.25	37.5	25	31.25	37.5	37.5	31.25	1. Curriculum must be updated according to National Education Policy-2020. 2. Sufficient practical exposure must be provided for the theoretical concepts in the courses
		Very Good	43.75	37.5	68.75	43.75	62.5	56.25	68.75	
		Good	25	25	6.25	25	-	6.25	-	
		Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Not Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

NOTE: Questionnaire on feedback is given in Annexure-1

Feedback Analysis:

The comments in bold text are placed before the Board of Studies

Signature		Signature	
Name	Prof. (Dr.) Parma Nand	Name	Prof. (Dr.) Nitin Rakesh
Dean		HoD	

Annexure-1

Feedback on Curriculum from Stakeholders:-Questions

I. Curriculum Feedback-Faculty (course specific)

- 1 -Relevance of the syllabus to the Course
- 2 - Applicability of syllabus to industry/practical needs
- 3 - Applicability to life-long learning
- 4 - Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
- 5 - Suggestions for improvement of course syllabus

II. Curriculum Feedback – Alumni (along with shared curricula/teaching scheme)

- 1 -Relevance of the curriculum to the Programme
- 2 - Applicability of curricula to industry/practical needs
- 4 - Applicability to life-long learning
- 5 - Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
- 6 - Suggestions for improvement of curricula

III. Curriculum Feedback - Industry Experts (along with shared curricula/teaching scheme)

- 1 -Relevance of the curriculum to the Programme
- 2- Applicability of curricula to industry/practical needs
- 3 -Addressal of curricula to current needs-local/regional/national/global
- 4 -Applicability to life-long learning
- 5 -Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula
- 6 -Appropriate blend of theory and hands on/practical learning
- 7 -Suggestions for improvement of curricula

IV. Student Feedback on curriculum (integrated with Feedback on Teaching-Learning-course specific) questions:

1. Is the syllabus appropriate to the course
2. Degree of Alignment of Course outcomes with syllabus
3. Suggestions for improvement of course syllabus- sentence option-3 lines
