random money					and Michigan Action 2	School	Universit of Dental	Science	direction in the second second	
		Ţ	PROGRA	M:SDS	A CARCING TAXABLE AND A	The Control of Party of the	Alexander Company Chairman And And	Ches The Course Common	Y & END	DODONTICS
		***************************************			ero anniero anteresiano a		rear: 202			
Non-World Commission				***************************************	Curric	ulum Feed	back Analy	sis Report	ideamain-mi	
White philadelphia	NAME OF THE PARTY OF	errical property	A Section Co.	Fé	edback	Questio	ns Avera	ge.	gotorda e	
Stakeholders	of Responde	Scale	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4			Q7	Suggestions by Stakeholders in Feedback
Faculty	42	Good, Satisfa	4.8	4.9	4.1	8 4.5	4.8	4,9	4,7	7 EXCELLENT AS PER DCI, Addition of soft skills, Satisfied. No need for any changes. Curriculum appropriate as per DCI regulations
Students	201	Excellent , \	4.9	4.8	4.:	9 4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	7 Majority of the students believed that the relevance of content of the curriculum to the program is very good. They also affirmed that the relevance was excellent.
Alumni	17	Excellent , \	4.8	4.5	4.1	8 4,7	4.8	4.9	4.8	8 Most of the alumni stated that content of curriculum is relevant to the program. Many said it as excellent curriculum for the dental program. Few suggested for more mentoring.
Practitioner	6	Excellent . \	4.5	4.8	4.	4 4.8	4.2	4.8	4.2	2 Practitioners agreed that content of curriculum is strongly linked to the program. Some said for incorporation of newer techniques.
			1	<del> </del>	-				Annual	
Feedback A	nalysis: (Few lir	i del	ļ	<b> </b>	ļ	ļ.,				
All the stake ho	olders believed th	nat the curricul								on ore student-teacher interaction.
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			1111	u d			<b></b>			
Signature			125	Houth					Signatur	
Name			Dr. M. Sk	and the minimum of the	I :				Name	Dr. M. Siddharth
Dean			School	of Dental S	iciences	1		1	HoD	DENTAL

Row IN Conservative a Endodomics

Conservative a Endodomics

School of Dental Sciences

Jot No. 32.34, Knowledge 6, UP