

## Sharda University

School:.....School of Architecture & Planning.....

Department: .....Architecture.....

Academic Year: 2021-2022

### Feedback Analysis

(This format is placed before the Departmental Academic Committee & the Board of Studies)

Stakeholder		Feedback Questions Average						Suggestions by Stakeholders in Feedback
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	
Faculty	Excellent	77%	51%	61%	26%			ARJ 402: Working drawing to be taught in more than one semester to facilitate students passing out after 3 years.
	V.Good	16%	38%	26%	39%			AEJ 318 : Bouquet of electives to be revised and courses such as creative writing skills, professional communication, Barrier free designs to be added.
	Good	7%	8%	10%	16%			ARJ 216 : Building Construction matrix to be revised. To include steel not in third semester but 5th
	Fair	0	0	0	6%			
	Poor	0	3%	3%	13%			
Student	Excellent	23%	12%					ARJ 121 : Hand skills like model making & Carpentry to be taught as a full-fledged course.
	V.Good	36%	37%					ART 206 : Structures to be taught regularly and sincerely by assigned faculty.
	Good	34%	35%					ART 309 : Site visits to be added in ESS lectures. ARJ
	Fair	3%	11%					401 : Parametric to be taught in site context also. We face problem in designing as we spend one complete year without handling a site.
	Poor	4%	4%					
Alumni	Excellent	20%	0%	20%	0%	40%		• Community involvement programmes should be encouraged.
	V.Good	40%	20%	80%	20%	20%		• Special focus on entrepreneurial skills to be given
	Good	40%	60%	0%	60%	40%		More hands on workshops and site visits to bridge gap between industry and academics
	Fair	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%		• The curriculum should include current global issues and technologies like climate change
	Poor	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%		
Employer	Excellent	45%	34%	34%	56%	67%	45%	• Project management skill to be introduced to the students in advanced years.
	V.Good	33%	44%	44%	33%	22%	33%	• Advanced BIM softwares to be taught in later years.
	Good	22%	22%	22%	0%	11%	11%	Writing skills of students to be improved.
	Fair	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Poor	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	11%	

Q1: Relevance of the curriculum to the Programme

Q2: Applicability of curricula to industry/practical needs

Q3: Applicability to life-long learning

Q4: Appropriateness of technical tools/software integrated in curricula

Q5: Suggestions for improvement of curricula

Q6:

Q7:

Feedback Analysis: (Few lines)




- 1 Faculties suggested to revise elective bouquet to offer a wide range of skills
- 2 Faculties suggested to split working drawings in two semsters to benefit students
- 3 Students were overall satisfied with curriculum and suggested to take up Model
- 4 Alumni suggested to include community engagement courses
- 5 Alumni suggested to focus on entrepreneural skills.
- 6 Employers suggested to teach Project management & Advanced BIM softwares

Signature

Name


Dean

  
Prof Parma Nand

Signature

Name

HoD

  
Prof. Shhilpi Sinha